

REMARKS

In response to the final rejection mailed February 19, 2009, Applicant has cancelled claim 1 and has substituted new claim 10 with claims 4 and 8 amended to depend from new claim 10. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 4, 8 and 9 is now moot.

Claim 10 clearly is limited to the scope of Formula (I) in conjunction with Table I of the specification and complies with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112.

In addition, claim 10 is considered patentable over Rayabarapu et al. and U.S. Patent 3,642,785.

Claims 4 and 8-9 depend from claim 10 and are therefore also considered patentable.

Reconsideration and allowance of claims 4, 8-9 and 10 is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 14, 2009

By: 


Eugene Lieberstein
Registration No. 24,645

CUSTOMER NO.: 79681

BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP
45 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10111
Phone: 212-589-4644

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this Preliminary Amendment w/RCE is being submitted to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 via EFS-Web on April 14, 2009.


Audrey de Souza